

Compiling an Interpreter

or

Fun with Algebra

the specification, use, and implementation of
functional languages

CS6940, Fall 2000

Outline

- ▶ • Programming with Functions
- Defining a Functional Language
- Type-Checking a Functional Program
- Implementing a Functional Language

Programming with Functions

- A program comprises function definitions and applications

$$f(x) \equiv (x \bullet x) + 10$$

$$f(2) = 14$$

Programming with Functions

- A program comprises function definitions and applications

$$f(x) \equiv (x \bullet x) + 10$$

$$g(y) \equiv 3 \bullet y$$

$$g(f(2)) = 42$$

Programming with Functions

- Functions consume and produce more than numbers

mkpair(x, y) ≡ ⟨x, y⟩

mkpair(1, 2) = ⟨1, 2⟩

Programming with Functions

- Functions consume and produce more than numbers

mkpair(x, y) ≡ ⟨x, y⟩

mklist(x, y) ≡ mkpair(x, mkpair(y, empty))

mklist(1, 2) = ⟨1, ⟨2, empty⟩⟩

Programming with Functions

- Functions consume and produce more than numbers

$$\mathbf{mkpair}(x, y) \equiv \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{mklist}(x, y) \equiv \mathbf{mkpair}(x, \mathbf{mkpair}(y, \text{empty}))$$

$$\mathbf{fst}(\langle x, y \rangle) \equiv x$$

$$\mathbf{fst}(\mathbf{mklist}(1, 2)) = 1$$

Programming with Functions

- Use functions to build complex data from simple constructs
- Implement branches with conditional functions

$$\mathbf{add(n, N, pb)} \equiv \langle\langle n, N \rangle, pb \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{lookup(n, \langle\langle n2, N \rangle, pb \rangle) \equiv \begin{cases} n = n2 & N \\ n \neq n2 & \mathbf{lookup(n, pb)} \end{cases}}$$

$$\mathbf{lookup("Jack", add("Jack", "x1212", empty)) = "x1212"}$$

Computation as Algebra

- Compute using algebraic equivalences

$$f(x) \equiv (x \bullet x) + 10$$

$$f(2) =$$

Computation as Algebra

- Compute using algebraic equivalences

$$f(x) \equiv (x \bullet x) + 10$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(2) &= (2 \bullet 2) + 10 \\ &= 4 + 10 \\ &= 14 \end{aligned}$$

Computation as Algebra

- Equivalence is pattern matching...

$$\mathbf{mkpair}(x, y) \equiv \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{mklist}(x, y) \equiv \mathbf{mkpair}(x, \mathbf{mkpair}(y, \text{empty}))$$

$$\mathbf{mklist}(1, 2) =$$

Computation as Algebra

- Equivalence is pattern matching...

$$\mathbf{mkpair}(x, y) \equiv \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{mklist}(x, y) \equiv \mathbf{mkpair}(x, \mathbf{mkpair}(y, \text{empty}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{mklist}(1, 2) &= \mathbf{mkpair}(1, \mathbf{mkpair}(2, \text{empty})) \\ &= \langle 1, \mathbf{mkpair}(2, \text{empty}) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \langle 2, \text{empty} \rangle \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\textcolor{blue}{or} &= \mathbf{mkpair}(1, \mathbf{mkpair}(2, \text{empty})) \\ &= \mathbf{mkpair}(1, \langle 2, \text{empty} \rangle) \\ &= \langle 1, \langle 2, \text{empty} \rangle \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Computation as Algebra

- ... and matching with conditionals

$$\mathbf{add}(n, N, pb) \equiv \langle\langle n, N \rangle, pb \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{lookup}(n, \langle\langle n2, N \rangle, pb \rangle) \equiv \begin{cases} n = n2 & N \\ n \neq n2 & \mathbf{lookup}(n, pb) \end{cases}$$

- - - - -

$$\mathbf{lookup}("Jack", \mathbf{add}("Jack", "x1212", \mathbf{empty}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbf{lookup}("Jack", \langle\langle "Jack", "x1212" \rangle, \mathbf{empty} \rangle) \\ &= "x1212" \end{aligned}$$

Computation as Algebra

- ... and matching with conditionals

$$\mathbf{add}(n, N, pb) \equiv \langle\langle n, N \rangle, pb \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{lookup}(n, \langle\langle n_2, N \rangle, pb \rangle) \equiv \begin{cases} n = n_2 & N \\ n \neq n_2 & \mathbf{lookup}(n, pb) \end{cases}$$

lookup("Jill", add("Jack", "x1212", empty))

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbf{lookup}("Jill", \langle\langle "Jack", "x1212" \rangle, \text{empty} \rangle) \\ &= \mathbf{lookup}("Jill", \text{empty}) \end{aligned}$$

stuck implies an error

Higher-Order Functions

- A *higher-order function* is one that consumes or produces functions

$$f(x) \equiv x \bullet x$$

$$\text{twice}(g, x) \equiv g(g(x))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{twice}(f, 2) &= f(f(2)) \\&= f(2 \bullet 2) \\&= f(4) \\&= 4 \bullet 4 \\&= 16\end{aligned}$$

Higher-Order Functions

- A *higher-order function* is one that consumes or produces functions

$$\mathbf{fst}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \equiv \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{twice}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{twice}(\mathbf{fst}, \langle \langle 1, 2 \rangle, 3 \rangle) &= \mathbf{fst}(\mathbf{fst}(\langle \langle 1, 2 \rangle, 3 \rangle)) \\ &= \mathbf{fst}(\langle 1, 2 \rangle) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

The Direction of Evaluation

$$3 + 4 = ?$$

The Direction of Evaluation

$$3 + 4 = 3 + (2 + 2)$$

The Direction of Evaluation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}(2) &= -1 + \mathbf{f}(2) + 1 \\ &= -1 + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{sqrt}(4)) + 1 \\ &= \dots\end{aligned}$$

- For programming, we want an evaluation direction that produces **values**

Expressions and Values

- Many possible *expressions*

8

$2 + 7 + \mathbf{sqrt}(9)$

fst

$\langle 1, \mathbf{fst}(\langle \text{empty}, \text{empty} \rangle) \rangle$

- Certain expressions are designated as *values*

8

fst

$\langle 1, \text{empty} \rangle$

Evaluation

- Define evaluation to *reduce* expressions to values

$$\begin{aligned}(2 + 7) + 8 &\rightarrow 9 + 8 \\ &\rightarrow 17\end{aligned}$$

Evaluation with Higher-Order Functions

- Problem: creating new function values

$$f(x) \equiv x + 1$$

$$g(y) \equiv y + 2$$

compose(a, b) ≡ ...

can't put **a(b(...))** in place of ...

Evaluation with Higher-Order Functions

- Problem: creating new function values

$$f(x) \equiv x + 1$$

$$g(y) \equiv y + 2$$

compose(a, b) ≡ ...

compose(f, g) → ...
 → **h**

where

$$h(z) = f(g(z))$$

Evaluation with Higher-Order Functions

- Redunction-friendly function notation:

Replace

$$f(x) \equiv x + 1$$

with

$$f \equiv (\lambda x . x + 1)$$

Evaluation with Higher-Order Functions

- Definition with \equiv merely creates a shorthand

$$f \equiv (\lambda x . x + 1)$$

- Apply functions through λ -application reduction

$(\lambda x . E)(v) \rightarrow E$ with x replaced by v

$$\begin{aligned} f(10) &= (\lambda x . x + 1)(10) \\ &\rightarrow 10 + 1 \\ &\rightarrow 11 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation with Higher-Order Functions

- Simple functions as values

mkadder $\equiv (\lambda m . (\lambda n . m + n))$

add1 $\equiv \text{mkadder}(1)$

add5 $\equiv \text{mkadder}(5)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add5} &= (\lambda m . (\lambda n . m + n))(5) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda n . 5 + n)\end{aligned}$$

Evaluation with Higher-Order Functions

- Simple functions as values

mkadder $\equiv (\lambda m . (\lambda n . m + n))$

add1 $\equiv \text{mkadder}(1)$

add5 $\equiv \text{mkadder}(5)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add5}(1) &= (\lambda m . (\lambda n . m + n))(5)(1) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda n . 5 + n)(1) \\ &\rightarrow 5 + 1 \\ &\rightarrow 6 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation with Higher-Order Functions

- Returning to the definition of **compose**

$$f \equiv (\lambda x . x + 1)$$

$$g \equiv (\lambda y . y + 2)$$

$$\text{compose} \equiv (\lambda (a, b) . (\lambda z . a(b(z))))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{compose}(f, g) &= (\lambda (a, b) . (\lambda z . a(b(z))))(f, g) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda z . f(g(z)))\end{aligned}$$

Outline

- Programming with Functions
- Defining a Functional Language
- Type-Checking a Functional Program
- Implementing a Functional Language

Defining a Functional Language

Steps to defining a language:

- Define the syntax for expressions
- Designate certain expressions as values
- Define the reduction rules on expressions

Syntax: Expressions

M = $\lceil n \rceil$
| **M - M**
| **M • M**
| **if0 M then M else M**
| $\lambda x . M$
| **M M**

n = an integer
x = a variable

$\lceil 5 \rceil$ represents 5

Syntax: Expressions

M = $\lceil n \rceil$
| **M - M**
| **M • M**
| **if0 M then M else M**
| $\lambda x . M$
| **M M**

n = an integer
x = a variable

$\lceil 5 \rceil - \lceil 3 \rceil$

represents the
subtraction of 3 from 5

Syntax: Expressions

M = $\lceil n \rceil$
| **M - M**
| **M • M**
| **if0 M then M else M**
| $\lambda x . M$
| **M M**

n = an integer
x = a variable

$\lambda x . x$

represents the identity
function

Syntax: Expressions

M = $\lceil n \rceil$
| **M - M**
| **M • M**
| **if0 M then M else M**
| $\lambda x . M$
| **M M**

n = an integer
x = a variable

($\lambda x . x$) $\lceil 5 \rceil$

represents applying the
identity function to 5

Syntax: Values

$$\begin{array}{lcl} v & = & [n] \\ & | & \lambda x . M \end{array}$$

$[5]$ a value

$\lambda x . x$ a value

$[5] - [3]$ not a value

$(\lambda x . x)([5])$ not a value

$\lambda y . ((\lambda x . x)(y))$ a value

Reductions

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lceil n_1 \rceil - \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 - n_2 \rceil \\ \lceil n_1 \rceil \bullet \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 \bullet n_2 \rceil \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } 0 \lceil 0 \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_1 \\ \text{if } 0 \lceil n \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_2 \\ & \quad \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$$(\lambda x . M)(V) \rightarrow M \quad \text{with } V \text{ in place of } x$$

$$\lceil 5 \rceil - \lceil 3 \rceil \rightarrow \lceil 2 \rceil$$

Reductions

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lceil n_1 \rceil - \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 - n_2 \rceil \\ \lceil n_1 \rceil \bullet \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 \bullet n_2 \rceil \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } 0 \lceil 0 \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_1 \\ \text{if } 0 \lceil n \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_2 \\ & \quad \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$$(\lambda x . M)(V) \rightarrow M \quad \text{with } V \text{ in place of } x$$

$$\text{if } 0 \lceil 0 \rceil \text{ then } \lceil 5 \rceil \text{ else } (\lambda x . x) \rightarrow \lceil 5 \rceil$$

Reductions

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lceil n_1 \rceil - \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 - n_2 \rceil \\ \lceil n_1 \rceil \bullet \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 \bullet n_2 \rceil \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } 0 \lceil 0 \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_1 \\ \text{if } 0 \lceil n \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_2 \\ & \quad \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$$(\lambda x . M)(V) \rightarrow M \quad \text{with } V \text{ in place of } x$$

$$\text{if } 0 \lceil 1 \rceil \text{ then } \lceil 5 \rceil \text{ else } (\lambda x . x) \rightarrow (\lambda x . x)$$

Reductions

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lceil n_1 \rceil - \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 - n_2 \rceil \\ \lceil n_1 \rceil \bullet \lceil n_2 \rceil & \rightarrow \lceil n_1 \bullet n_2 \rceil \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } 0 \lceil 0 \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_1 \\ \text{if } 0 \lceil n \rceil \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 & \rightarrow M_2 \\ & \quad \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$$(\lambda x . M)(V) \rightarrow M \quad \text{with } V \text{ in place of } x$$

$$(\lambda x . x \bullet \lceil 10 \rceil)(\lceil 8 \rceil) \rightarrow \lceil 8 \rceil \bullet \lceil 10 \rceil$$

Reductions in Context

$$\mathbf{M}_1 - \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_1 - \mathbf{M}_2$$

where $\mathbf{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_1$

$$\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{M}'_2$$

where $\mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_2$

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \bullet \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_1 \bullet \mathbf{M}_2$$

...

$$([5] \bullet [2]) - ([3] \bullet [4]) \rightarrow [10] - ([3] \bullet [4])$$

Reductions in Context

$$\mathbf{M}_1 - \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_1 - \mathbf{M}_2$$

where $\mathbf{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_1$

$$\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{M}'_2$$

where $\mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_2$

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \bullet \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'_1 \bullet \mathbf{M}_2$$

...

$$[10] - ([3] \bullet [4]) \rightarrow [10] - [12]$$

Reductions in Context

if 0 M **then** M₁ **else** M₂ → **if** 0 M' **then** M₁ **else** M₂
where M → M'

M₁ M₂ → M'₁ M₂
where M₁ → M'₁
V M₂ → V M'₂
where M₂ → M'₂

(λ x . x)([2] • [2]) → (λ x . x)([4])

Reductions in Context

if 0 M **then** M₁ **else** M₂ → **if** 0 M' **then** M₁ **else** M₂
where M → M'

M₁ M₂ → M'₁ M₂
where M₁ → M'₁

V M₂ → V M'₂
where M₂ → M'₂

((λ x . x)(λ y . y))([2] • [2]) → (λ y . y)([2] • [2])

Extended Example: Factorial

```
fac ≡ λn . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • fac(n - 「1」)
```

Illegal: **fac** isn't merely a shorthand
because it mentions itself

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if 0 n  
                      then 「1」  
                      else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fac}(\lceil 0 \rceil) \\ = \\ (\text{mkfac}(\text{mkfac}))(\lceil 0 \rceil)\end{aligned}$$

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then ⌈1⌉  
           else n • (f(f))(n - ⌈1⌉)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
(mkfac(mkfac))(⌈0⌉)  
→  
(λ n . if0 n  
           then ⌈1⌉  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - ⌈1⌉))(⌈0⌉)
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
(λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - 「1」))('0')  
→  
if0「0」  
  then 「1」  
  else 「0」• (mkfac(mkfac))('0' - 「1」)
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
if0「0」  
then 「1」  
else 「0」•(mkfac(mkfac))('0'-'1')  
→  
「1」
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if 0 n  
                      then 「1」  
                      else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fac}(\lceil 2 \rceil) \\ = \\ (\text{mkfac}(\text{mkfac}))(\lceil 2 \rceil)\end{aligned}$$

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then ⌈1⌉  
           else n • (f(f))(n - ⌈1⌉)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
(mkfac(mkfac))(⌈2⌉)  
→  
(λ n . if0 n  
           then ⌈1⌉  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - ⌈1⌉))(⌈2⌉)
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
(λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - 「1」))「2」  
→  
if0「2」  
  then 「1」  
  else 「2」• (mkfac(mkfac))「2」-「1」)
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
if0「2」  
  then 「1」  
  else 「2」•(mkfac(mkfac))(''2'' - ''1'')  
→  
「2」•(mkfac(mkfac))(''2'' - ''1'')
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
「2」•(mkfac(mkfac))(「2」-「1」)  
→  
「2」•(λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - 「1」))('2' - '1')
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if 0 n  
           then [1]  
           else n • (f(f))(n - [1])  
  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
[2] • (λ n . if 0 n  
           then [1]  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - [1]))([2] - [1])  
→ [2] • (λ n . if 0 n  
           then [1]  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - [1]))([1])
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
「2」•(λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (mkfac(mkfac))(n - 「1」))(「1」)  
→ 「2」• if0 「1」  
           then 「1」  
           else 「1」• (mkfac(mkfac))('1' - 「1」)
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
「2」• if0 「1」  
           then 「1」  
           else 「1」• (mkfac(mkfac))('1'-'1')  
→  
「2」• ('1'• (mkfac(mkfac))('1'-'1'))
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if 0 n  
           then [1]  
           else n • (f(f))(n - [1])  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
[2] • ([1] • (mkfac(mkfac))([1]-[1]))  
→  
[2] • ([1] • (λ n . if 0 n  
           then [1]  
           else ...)([1]-[1]))
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
「2」•「1」•(λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else ... )(「1」-「1」))  
→  
「2」•「1」•(λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else ... )(「0」))
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

```
「2」•(「1」•(λ n . if0 n  
           then 「1」  
           else ... )(「0」))  
→  
「2」•(「1」•if0「0」  
           then 「1」  
           else ... )
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```

mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if0 n
                           then ⌈1⌉
                           else n • (f(f))(n - ⌈1⌉)

fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)

```

```
[2] • ([1] • if 0 [0]  
      then [1]  
      else ... )  
  
→  
[2] • ([1] • [1])
```

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if 0 n  
                      then [1]  
                      else n • (f(f))(n - [1])  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

- - - - -

$$[2] \bullet ([1] \bullet [1])$$

$$\xrightarrow{} [2] \bullet [1]$$

Extended Example: Factorial

```
mkfac ≡ λ f . λ n . if 0 n  
                      then 「1」  
                      else n • (f(f))(n - 「1」)  
fac ≡ mkfac(mkfac)
```

「2」•「1」

→
「2」

Outline

- Programming with Functions
- Defining a Functional Language
- ➡ ● Type-Checking a Functional Program
- Implementing a Functional Language

Well-Formedness

Quiz: What is the value of the following expression?

$\lambda[10]$

Answer: Trick question. It's not an expression.

Safety and Types

- The following is a syntactically well-formed expression

$$(\lambda x . x) - \lceil 10 \rceil$$

- It is not a value...
- ... but no reduction rule applies; the expression is ***stuck***
- The language is ***unsafe***

Safety and Types

One way to safety:

$$(\lambda x . x) \dashv 10 \rightarrow \text{error}_{\text{minus}}$$

Safety

- For any expression, a ***safe language*** produces a well-defined result (possibly an error) or reduces forever

Safety and Types

Another way to safety:

Reject $(\lambda x . x) - \Gamma[10]$ as an expression

Types

- A ***type system*** defines a restriction on well-formedness, in addition the syntax rules
- A typed, well-formed expression never gets stuck, and *never signals certain errors*, such as $\text{error}_{\text{minus}}$

Type Rules

$\Gamma[5] : \text{int}$

$\Gamma[6] - \Gamma[1] : \text{int}$

$(\lambda x . x)(\Gamma[8]) : \text{int}$

$(\lambda x . x) - \Gamma[10] : \text{no type}$

if 0 $\Gamma[0]$ **then** $\Gamma[1]$ **else** $(\lambda x . x) : \text{no type}$

Type Rules

- arithmetic expressions produce integers

$\lceil n \rceil : \text{int}$

$$\frac{M_1 : \text{int} \quad M_2 : \text{int}}{M_1 - M_2 : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \lceil 3 \rceil : \text{int} \quad \lceil 1 \rceil : \text{int} \\ \hline \lceil 3 \rceil - \lceil 1 \rceil : \text{int} \end{array}}{\lceil 5 \rceil - (\lceil 3 \rceil - \lceil 1 \rceil) : \text{int}}$$

Type Rules

- **if0:** assume both branches have the same type

$$\frac{M : \text{int} \quad M_1 : T \quad M_2 : T}{\text{if0 } M \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 : T}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [2] : \text{int} \quad [3] : \text{int} \\ \hline [0] : \text{int} \end{array} \quad [2]+[3] : \text{int} \quad [1] : \text{int}}{\text{if0 } [0] \text{ then } ([2]+[3]) \text{ else } [1] : \text{int}}$$

Type Rules

- What about variables?

x
shouldn't have a type

$\lambda x . x$
x needs a type, used towards the expression type

- Accumulate variable context in an environment, Γ

$$\Gamma \vdash x : T \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = T$$

$$\{x=\text{int}\} \vdash x : \text{int}$$

Type Rules

- Fix up old rules

$$\Gamma \vdash [n] : \text{int}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 - M_2 : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash M_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } 0 \text{ } M \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_2 : T}$$

$$\frac{\{x=\text{int}\} \vdash [9] : \text{int} \quad \{x=\text{int}\} \vdash x : \text{int}}{\{x=\text{int}\} \vdash [9] - x : \text{int}}$$

Type Rules

- Function type: $\mathbf{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_2$

$$\frac{\Gamma\{x=\mathbf{T}'\} \vdash M : \mathbf{T}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x . M) : \mathbf{T}' \rightarrow \mathbf{T}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \mathbf{T}' \rightarrow \mathbf{T} \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \mathbf{T}'}{\Gamma \vdash (M_1 M_2) : \mathbf{T}}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \{x=\text{int}\} \vdash x : \text{int} \\ \hline \{ \} \vdash (\lambda x . x) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \end{array} \quad [5] : \text{int}}{\{ \} \vdash (\lambda x . x)([5]) : \text{int}}$$

Type Rules

- One more example (abbreviate `int` with `i`)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{f=i \rightarrow i\} \vdash f : i \rightarrow i}{\{f=i \rightarrow i\} \vdash 5 : i} \quad \frac{\{y=i\} \vdash y : i}{\{y=i\} \vdash \lceil 1 \rceil : i}}{\{f=i \rightarrow i\} \vdash f \lceil 5 \rceil : i} \quad \frac{\{y=i\} \vdash y - \lceil 1 \rceil : i}{\{y=i\} \vdash y - \lceil 1 \rceil : i}}$$

$$\frac{\{ \} \vdash (\lambda f . f \lceil 5 \rceil) : (i \rightarrow i) \rightarrow i \quad \{ \} \vdash (\lambda y . y - \lceil 1 \rceil) : i \rightarrow i}{\{ \} \vdash (\lambda f . f \lceil 5 \rceil)(\lambda y . y - \lceil 1 \rceil) : i}$$

Outline

- Programming with Functions
- Defining a Functional Language
- Type-Checking a Functional Program
- ➡ ● Implementing a Functional Language

Implementing a Functional Language

- So far, the language is defined in terms of rewriting rules
- But real machines do not provide a "rewrite" opcode
- Implement an interpreter to run on a realistic machine
 - Use ML notation to describe the interpreter
 - Start with a *meta-circular* interpreter, then convert to machine code — in 10 easy steps!

Abstract Syntax

- Ignore parsing

```
type xpr = Value of xval
| Minus of xpr * xpr
| Times of xpr * xpr
| Lam of xvar * xpr
| Var of xvar
| App of xpr * xpr
| IfZero of xpr * xpr * xpr

type xval = Num of int
| Fun of (xval → xval)
```

$\lambda x . (x - 5)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{parse}}$ `Lam("x", Minus(Var("x"), Value(Num(5))))`

Step 1

- Instead of rewriting the source syntax step-by-step, use ML's recursion to evaluate sub-expressions.

$$\text{eval} \lceil 2 \rceil - \lceil 1 \rceil = \text{eval} \lceil 2 \rceil - \text{eval} \lceil 1 \rceil$$

Step 1

```
let rec eval = function
  Value(v) → v
  | Minus(m1, m2) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1)
                      and Num(n2) = eval(m2)
                      in Num(n1 - n2)
  | Times(m1, m2) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1)
                      and Num(n2) = eval(m2)
                      in Num(n1 * n2)
  | Lam(var, m) → Fun(fun v → eval(replace(var, v) m))
  | App(m1, m2) → let Fun(f) = eval(m1)
                  in f(eval(m2))
  | IfZero(m1, m2, m3) → let Num(n) = eval(m1)
                          in eval(if (n=0)
                                    then m2
                                    else m3)
```

Step 2

- Use an environment for function bodies instead of replacement

Old way:

$$(\lambda x . x - \lceil 1 \rceil)(\lceil 10 \rceil) \rightarrow \lceil 10 \rceil - \lceil 1 \rceil$$

New way:

$$\{y=7\} (\lambda x . x - \lceil 1 \rceil)(\lceil 10 \rceil) \rightarrow \{y=7, x=10\} x - \lceil 1 \rceil$$

Step 2

```
let rec eval = function
  (Const(v), e) → Num(v)
  | (Minus(m1, m2), e) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1, e)
    and Num(n2) = eval(m2, e)
    in Num(n1 - n2)
  | (Times(m1, m2), e) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1, e)
    and Num(n2) = eval(m2, e)
    in Num(n1 * n2)
  | (Lam(var, m), e) → Fun(fun v →
    eval(m, Extend(var, v, e)))
  | (App(m1, m2), e) → let Fun(f) = eval(m1, e)
    in f(eval(m2, e))
  | (IfZero(m1, m2, m3), e) → let Num(n) = eval(m1, e)
    in eval(if (n==0)
      then m2
      else m3),
    e)
  | (Var(var), e) → lookup(var, e)
```

Step 3

- Pre-compute variable locations in the environment
- Introduce a "bytecode" compiler for pre-computing

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} . (\lambda \mathbf{y} . (\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}))$$

compile


$$\lambda . (\lambda . (@2 \bullet @1))$$

Step 3

```
let rec comp = function
  | (Const(v), e) → CConst(v)
  | (Minus(m1, m2), e) → CMinus(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e))
  | (Times(m1, m2), e) → CTimes(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e))
  | (Lam(var, m), e) → CLam(comp(m, CExtend(var, e)))
  | (App(m1, m2), e) → CApp(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e))
  | (IfZero(m1, m2, m3), e) → CIfZero(comp(m1, e),
                                         comp(m2, e),
                                         comp(m3, e))
  | (Var(var), e) → CVar(offset(var, e))
```

Step 3

```
let rec eval = function
  (CConst(v), e) → Num(v)
  | (CMinus(m1, m2), e) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1, e)
    and Num(n2) = eval(m2, e)
    in Num(n1 - n2)
  | (CTimes(m1, m2), e) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1, e)
    and Num(n2) = eval(m2, e)
    in Num(n1 * n2)
  | (CLam(m), e) → Fun(fun v → eval(m, Extend(v, e)))
  | (CApp(m1, m2), e) → let Fun(f) = eval(m1, e)
    in f(eval(m2, e))
  | (ClfZero(m1, m2, m3), e) → let Num(n) = eval(m1, e)
    in eval(if (n=0)
            then m2
            else m3),
    e)
  | (CVar(n), e) → lookup(n, e)
```

Step 4

- Stop relying on ML functions to implement our functions
- Instead, define a function as an expression-envrionment pair:

```
type xval = Num of int
          | Fun of cexpr * xenv
```

Step 4

```
let rec eval = function
  (CConst(v), e) → Num(v)
  | (CMinus(m1, m2), e) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1, e)
    and Num(n2) = eval(m2, e)
    in Num(n1 - n2)
  | (CTimes(m1, m2), e) → let Num(n1) = eval(m1, e)
    and Num(n2) = eval(m2, e)
    in Num(n1 * n2)
  | (CLam(m), e) → Fun(m, e)
  | (CApp(m1, m2), e) → let Fun(fm, fe) = eval(m1, e)
    in eval(fm, Extend(eval(m2, e), fe))
  | (CIfZero(m1, m2, m3), e) → let Num(n) = eval(m1, e)
    in eval(if (n=0)
      then m2
      else m3),
    e)
  | (CVar(n), e) → lookup(n, e)
```

Step 5

- Stop relying on ML recursion
- Instead, package work-to-do in a *continuation*

```
eval [3] - [2] then kont  
→  
eval [3] then ? - [2] then kont  
→  
eval [2] then 3 - ? then kont  
→  
kont with 1
```

Step 5

```
type kont = Done
| KSubArg of cexpr * xenv * kont
| KMultArg of cexpr * xenv * kont
| KSub of xval * kont
| KMult of xval * kont
| KAppArg of cexpr * xenv * kont
| KApp of xval * kont
| KIfZero of cexpr * cexpr * xenv * kont
```

Step 5

```
let rec eval = function
  (CConst(v), e, k) → kontinue(Num(v), k)
  | (CMinus(m1,m2), e, k) → eval(m1, e, KSubArg(m2,e,k))
  | (CTimes(m1,m2), e, k) → eval(m1, e, KMultArg(m2,e,k))
  | (CLam(m), e, k) → kontinue(Fun(m,e), k)
  | (CApp(m1,m2), e, k) → eval(m1, e, KAppArg(m2,e,k))
  | (CIfZero(m1,m2,m3), e, k) →
      eval(m1, e, KIfZero(m2,m3,e,k))
  | (CVar(n), e, k) → kontinue(lookup(n, e), k)
```

Step 5

Step 6

- Stop relying on ML's argument passing
- Instead, use a fixed set of registers for arguments

Step 6

```
let rec eval = function unit →  
  match (!mReg, !eReg, !kReg) with  
    (CConst(v), e, k) → vReg := Num(v); kontinue()  
  | (CMinus(m1,m2), e, k) → mReg := m1;  
    kReg := KSubArg(m2,e,k); eval()  
  | (CTimes(m1,m2), e, k) → mReg := m1;  
    kReg := KMultArg(m2,e,k); eval()  
  | (CLam(m), e, k) → vReg := Fun(m,e); kontinue()  
  | (CApp(m1,m2), e, k) → mReg := m1;  
    kReg := KAppArg(m2,e,k); eval()  
  | (CIfZero(m1,m2,m3), e, k) → mReg := m1;  
    kReg := KIfZero(m2,m3,e,k); eval()  
  | (CVar(n), e, k) → vReg := lookup(n, e); kontinue()
```

Step 6

```
let rec kontinue = function unit →
  match (!vReg, !kReg) with
    (v, KSubArg(m,e,k)) → mReg := m; eReg := e;
                            kReg := KSub(v, k); eval()
  | (v, KMultArg(m,e,k)) → mReg := m;
                            eReg := e; kReg := KMult(v,k); eval()
  | (Num(n2), KSub(Num(n1),k)) → vReg := Num(n1 - n2);
                                    kReg := k; kontinue()
  | (Num(n2), KMult(Num(n1),k)) → vReg := Num(n1 * n2);
                                    kReg := k; kontinue()
  | (v, KAppArg(m,e,k)) → mReg := m; eReg := e;
                            kReg := KApp(v,k); eval()
  | (v, KApp(Fun(m,e),k)) → mReg := m;
                            eReg := Extend(v,e); kReg := k; eval()
  | (Num(n), KIfZero(m2,m3,e,k)) →
      mReg := (if (n=0) then m2 else m3);
      eReg := e; kReg := k; eval()
  | (v, Done) → v
```

Step 7

- Stop using ML's fancy datatypes
- Instead, assume only number and cons cells

Step 7

```
let rec comp = function
  (Const(v), e) → Cons(Int(1), Int(v))
  | (Minus(m1,m2), e) → Cons(Int(2),
                                Cons(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e)))
  | (Times(m1,m2), e) → Cons(Int(3),
                                Cons(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e)))
  | (Lam(var,m), e) → Cons(Int(4),
                                comp(m, CExtend(var, e)))
  | (App(m1,m2), e) → Cons(Int(5),
                                Cons(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e)))
  | (IfZero(m1,m2,m3), e) →
      Cons(Int(6), Cons(comp(m1, e), Cons(comp(m2, e),
                                             comp(m3, e))))
  | (Var(var), e) → Cons(Int(7), Int(offset(var, e)))
```

Step 7

```
let rec eval = function unit →  
  let e = !eReg and k = !kReg  
  in match (!mReg) with  
    Cons(Int(1), v) → vReg := v;  
      kontinue()  
  | Cons(Int(2), Cons(m1, m2)) → mReg := m1;  
    kReg := Cons(Int(1), Cons(m2, Cons(e, k)));  
    eval()  
  | Cons(Int(3), Cons(m1, m2)) → mReg := m1;  
    kReg := Cons(Int(2), Cons(m2, Cons(e, k)));  
    eval()  
  | ...
```

Step 7

```
let rec kontinue = function unit →
  match (!vReg, !kReg) with
    (v, Cons(Int(1), Cons(m, Cons(e, k)))) →
      mReg := m;
      eReg := e;
      kReg := Cons(Int(3), Cons(v, k));
      eval()
    | (v, Cons(Int(2), Cons(m, Cons(e, k)))) →
      mReg := m;
      eReg := e;
      kReg := Cons(Int(4), Cons(v, k));
      eval()
    | ...
```

Step 8

- Stop using cons cells
- Instead, we have a flat, numerically addressed memory containing only numbers

Step 8

```
let rec
comp = function
  (Const(v), e) → malloc(1, v)
  | (Minus(m1, m2), e) →
    malloc(2, malloc(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e)))
  | (Times(m1, m2), e) →
    malloc(3, malloc(comp(m1, e), comp(m2, e)))
  | (Lam(var, m), e) →
    malloc(4, comp(m, CExtend(var, e)))
  | ...
```

Step 8

```
let rec eval = function unit →  
  let e = !eReg and k = !kReg and p = !mReg  
  in match (read p) with  
    1 → vReg := read(p+1);  
      kontinue()  
    | 2 → mReg := read(read(p+1));  
      kReg := malloc(1,  
                    malloc(read(read(p+1)+1),  
                    malloc(e, k)));  
      eval()  
    | 3 → ...  
    | 4 → vReg := malloc(read(p+1), e);  
      kontinue()  
    | ...
```

Step 8

```
let rec kontinue = function unit →  
    let p = !kReg and v = !vReg  
    in match (read p) with  
        1 → mReg := read(read(p+1));  
            eReg := read(read(read(p+1)+1));  
            kReg := malloc(3, malloc(v,  
                read(read(read(p+1)+1)+1)));  
                eval()  
        | 2 → mReg := read(read(p+1));  
            eReg := read(read(read(p+1)+1));  
            kReg := malloc(4, malloc(v,  
                read(read(read(p+1)+1)+1)));  
                eval()  
        | ...
```

Step 9

- Implement a garbage collector

(code provided on the course page)

Step 10

- Convert `eval` and `kontinue` to assembly

(not provided)

Conclusion

- Functional programming is programming with algebra
- A language definition comprises
 - a grammar
 - a set of reduction rules
 - an optional set of typing rules
- Implementation can be described as a transformation from meta-circular (obvious) to machine code (complex)