## Lecture 12: Hardware/Software Trade-Offs

• Topics: COMA, Software Virtual Memory

## **Capacity Limitations**



In a Sequent NUMA-Q design above,

- A remote access is involved if data cannot be found in the remote access cache
- The remote access cache and local memory are both DRAM

Can we expand cache and reduce local memory?

## Cache-Only Memory Architectures

- COMA takes the extreme approach: no local memory and a very large remote access cache
- The cache is now known as an "attraction memory"
- Overheads/issues that must be addressed:
  - Need a much larger tag space
  - More care while evicting a block
  - Finding a clean copy of a block
- Easier to program data need not be pre-allocated

- Attraction memories reduce the frequency of remote accesses by reducing capacity/conflict misses
- Attraction memory access time is longer than local memory access time in the CC-NUMA case (since the latter does not involve tag comparison)
- COMA helps programs that have frequent capacity misses to remotely allocated data

- Even though the memory block has no fixed home, the directory can continue to remain fixed – on a miss or on a write, contact directory to identify valid cached copies
- In order to not evict the last block, one of the sharers has the block in "master" state – while replacing the master copy, a message must be sent to the directory – the directory attempts to find another node that can accommodate this block in master state
- For high performance, the physical memory allocated to an application must be smaller than attraction memory capacity, and attraction memory must be highly associative

## **Reducing Cost**

- Hardware cache coherence involves specialized communication assists – cost can be reduced by using commodity hardware and software cache coherence
- Software cache coherence: each processor translates the application's virtual address space into its own physical memory if the local physical memory does not exist (page fault), a copy is made by contacting the home node a software layer is responsible for tracking updates and propagating them to cached copies also known as shared virtual memory (SVM)

## Shared Virtual Memory Performance

- Every communication is expensive involves OS, message-passing over slower I/O interfaces, protocol processing happens at the processor
- Since the implementation is based on the processor's virtual memory support, granularity of sharing is a page → high degree of false sharing
- For a sequentially consistent execution, false sharing leads to a high degree of expensive communication

- Relaxed models such as release consistency can reduce frequency of communication (while increasing programming effort)
- Writes are not immediately propagated, but have to wait until the next synchronization point
- In hardware CC, messages are sent immediately and relaxed models prevent the processor from stalling; in software CC, relaxed models allow us to defer message transfers to amortize their overheads

## Hardware and Software CC



- Relaxed memory models in hardware cache coherence hide latency from processor → false sharing can result in significant network traffic
- In software cache coherence, the relaxed memory model sends messages only at synchronization points, reducing the traffic because of false sharing

#### Eager Release Consistency

- When a processor issues a release operation, all writes by that processor are propagated to other nodes (as updates or invalidates)
- When other processors issue reads, they encounter a cache miss (if we are using an invalidate protocol), and get a clean copy of the block from the last writer
- Does the read really have to see the latest value?

#### Eager Release Consistency

 Invalidates/Updates are sent out to the list of sharers when a processor executes a release



## Lazy Release Consistency

- RCsc guarantees SC between special operations
- P2 must see updates by P1 only if P1 issued a release, followed by an acquire by P2
- In LRC, updates/invalidates are visible to a processor only after it does an acquire – it is possible that some processors will never see the update (not true cache coherence)
- LRC reduces the amount of traffic, but increases the latency and complexity of an acquire

### Lazy Release Consistency

 Invalidates/Updates are sought when a processor executes an acquire – fewer messages, higher implementation complexity



- Acquires and releases pertain to specific lock variables
- When a process executes an acquire, it should receive all updates that were seen before the corresponding release by the releasing processor
- Therefore, each process must keep track of all write notices (modifications to each shared page) that were applied at every synchronization point

# Example

P1 A1	P2	P3	P4	
			A4	
R1			R4	
	A1			
A2			Α3	
	R1			
P2			R3	
INZ I	A3			
		A5		
	R3	DE		
	Α1	KƏ		
	R1			
		A1		

## Example



16

#### LRC Vs. ERC Vs. Hardware-RC

#### P1

lock L1; ptr = non\_null\_value; unlock L1;

#### P2

while (ptr == null) { }; lock L1; a = ptr; unlock L1;

#### Implementation

- Each pair of synch operations in a process defines an *interval*
- A partial order is defined on intervals based on releaseacquire pairs
- For each interval, a process maintains a vector timestamp of "preceding" intervals: the vector stores the last preceding interval for each process
- On an acquire, the acquiring process sends its vector timestamp to the releasing process – the releasing process sends all write notices that have not been seen by acquirer

- LRC can reduce traffic by more than a factor of two for many applications (compared to ERC)
- Programmers have to think harder (causality!)
- High memory overheads at each node (keep track of vector timestamps, write notices) – garbage collection helps significantly
- Memory overheads can be reduced by eagerly propagating write notices to processors or a home node – will change the memory model again!

- It is important to support two concurrent writes to different words within a page and to merge the writes at a later point
- Each process makes a twin copy of the page before it starts writing – updates are sent as a diff between the old and new copies – after an acquire, a process must get diffs from all releasing processes and apply them to its own copy of the page
- If twins are kept around for a long time, storage overhead increases – it helps to have a home location of the page that is periodically updated with diffs

## Simple COMA

- SVM takes advantage of virtual memory to provide easy implementations of address translation, replication, and replacement
- These can be applied to the COMA architecture
- Simple COMA: if virtual address translation fails, the OS generates a local copy of the page; when the page is replaced, the OS ensures that the data is not lost; if data is not found in attraction memory, hardware is responsible for fetching the relevant cache block from a remote node (note that physical address must be translated back to virtual address)

# Title

• Bullet